

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/971,095	10/03/2001	lgal Ladabaum	016132 0274779 SC-007(U)	2110
75	590 05/15/2002			
Pillsbury Winthrop, LLP			EXAMINER	
1600 Tysons Bo			LOBO, IAN J	
McLean, VA 22102				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
		DATE MAILED: 05/15/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/971,095	LADABAUM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		lan J. Lobo	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensi after St - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by style received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire. It reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from latute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>09 May 2002</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
·	claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica	ation					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-18 and 27-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	<u> </u>						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 19-26</u> is/are rejected.							
·							
8) <u> </u>	laim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
·			Oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for	gign priority under 25 II S.C. \$ 110/c) (d) or (f)				
	All b) Some * c) None of:	eight phonty under 35 O.S.C. § 119(8	1)-(u) or (r).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s	•	_					
2) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-8 and 19-26 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are recited as usable together and are claimed as such. This is not found persuasive because even if they are usable together, they may still be distinct and independent and further, although they are claimed as usable together, the claims that are referenced and argued are dependent claims. The independent claims are still distinct and independent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of the patents to Ladabaum et al ('351, '452, '709), Haller et al ('476, '832) or article Ladabaum et al when taken in view of Horner et al ('652) and Swierkowski ('580).

The prior art Micromachined Ultrasonic Transducers (MUTs) are adequately disclosed in the patents to Ladabaum et al, Haller et al or article to Ladabaum et al. Specifically, the MUTs include a plurality of MUT cells located on a first surface of a

Application/Control Number: 09/971,095

Art Unit: 3662

substrate (silicon). The instant claims are directed to micromachined ultrasonic transducer structures.

The difference between claims 1 and 19 and the above noted MUTs is the claim specifies a damping material located on the backside of the substrate.

The patent to Horner et al teaches that it is well known to utilize acoustic backing or damping materials to attenuate or absorb noise or reverberations in ultrasonic transducers. Some of the acoustic absorbers or dampers include elastomers, silicon rubbers and RTV materials. Swierkowski discloses a micromachined device (not unlike the MUTs disclosed above) where a substrate (10) is abutted with acoustic damping or absorption layer (46).

Thus, in view of Horner et al and Swierkowski, one of ordinary skill in the art would look to modify the prior art MUTs by disposing an acoustic absorbing or damping material on the backside of the substrate from the capacitive elements since such a damping layer would attenuate echo reverberations. Claims 1 and 19 are so rejected.

Dependent claims 2-8 and 20-26 are further provided by the above noted combination of prior art.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to lan J. Lobo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/971,095

Art Unit: 3662

Page 4

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

lan J. Lobo

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662

ijl May 13, 2002